Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None



# **Sections**

## October 2023

## Guidance on Elections: Paper A

This paper is	for information
Purpose:	
This paper pi	esents Sections with a copy of the current Guidance on Elections.
Recommend	ation(s):
Sections are	asked to note the Guidance.
Author	
Marion Pater	son, Fellowship Manager
	esource implications, and/or risks to consider

#### Introduction

- 1. The *Guidance on Elections* 2023-24 is attached. FSC and Council approved the changes (listed below).
- 2. *Guidance on Elections* is the standing regulations governing the conduct of elections and related matters at the Academy. One of FSC's principal responsibilities is to revise and agree the *Guidance* annually. In this covering note attention is drawn to changes for the 2023-24 version, arising from discussions at Fellowship and Structures Committee (FSC), the Fellows' Diversity Group (FDG), Groups and Sections. The *Guidance* for 2023-24 incorporates all these various changes.

#### Suggestions

• *Strategic Plan*: Reworded paragraph 5 on the new Strategic Plan and focus on diversity.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

- *Independent Assessments*: Paragraph 44 reworded to include redacting names of current Fellows when mentioned in assessments.
- *Honorary Fellows*: Reworded paragraph 58 on the agreed amended process for suggesting names for Honorary Fellowship consideration.
- *Independent Candidates route*: FSC agreed to suspend this as a route for the following year, but to include a paragraph (new paragraph 91) which still allowed for any possible candidates, that seemed to lay outside the Sections route, to be put forward to FSC for further discussion and consultation within the Fellowship.
- *Good Practice Guidance*: Appendix 7. This is a draft from the Fellows Diversity Group which has been updated to suggest an additional meeting for Sections if helpful.

#### Recommendation

Sections are asked to note this paper.

MP September 2023

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

### **Guidance on Elections 2023-2024**

#### A. THE ELECTORAL PROCESS: EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1. The Charter and Bye-Laws provide for the election of Fellows, Corresponding Fellows and Honorary Fellows. Nothing in this document overrides the provisions in the Bye-Laws.
- 2. The Academy's process for election includes the following:
  - i. Identification of qualified candidates by thorough searching by Section Standing Committees (SSCs), suggestions from individual Fellows ('Call for Names') and from heads of universities and relevant organisations.
  - ii. Supply of information from candidates that is accurate, full, and up to date.
  - iii. Discussion of strengths of candidates by Sections before agreeing to put names to the ballot.
  - iv. Commissioning of independent assessments from leading (usually overseas) scholars.
  - v. Ballot by Section members to elicit views of the most outstanding candidates.
  - vi. Discussion by Sections, considering the outcome of the ballot, and recommendations to Groups. (These are the Humanities and Social Sciences Sections gathered together in two groups, one representing the former set of Sections and one the latter.)
  - vii. Discussion by the Groups and ranking of the candidates put forward by their Sections.
  - viii. Discussion by Fellowship and Structures Committee (FSC) of candidates through the interstitial ballot route and from the Ginger Groups if there are any. (Ginger Groups are gatherings of Fellows who share a field of expertise not currently within a Section.)
  - ix. Discussion by Council and agreement of candidates to nominate to AGM.
  - x. Election by AGM.

**April-August**: Identification of qualified candidates by thorough searching by SSCs, by suggestions from individual Fellows: 'Call for Names', and by heads of universities and other relevant organisations. Agreement of scholars to go to Sections for consideration, and disclosure.

April-September: Supply of information from candidates that is accurate, full, and up to date.

**October**: Discussion of relative strengths of candidates by Sections before agreeing to put names of candidates to the ballot.

**October-December**: Commissioning of independent assessments from leading (usually overseas) scholars.

February: Ballot by Section members.

**March**: Discussion of candidates by Section, considering ballot results, and making recommendations to Groups.

**April** (Overlap of electoral cycles): Discussion by Groups of candidates put forward by Sections, and ranking candidates for Council.

**May** (Overlap of electoral cycles): Discussion of candidates through the interstitial route by Fellowship & Structures Committee (FSC).

**June** (Overlap of electoral cycles): Discussion by Council and agreement of candidates to recommend to AGM.

July (Overlap of electoral cycles): Election by AGM.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

#### B. DIVERSITY OF THE FELLOWSHIP

- 3. Elections to the Fellowship in 2024 will draw on a ballot in early 2024, and Section meetings are held in October 2023 and March 2024.
- 4. Section Standing Committees meet between late May and August to prepare for Sections in October, in particular to choose names for Section to consider for inclusion on the ballot. These candidates will be disclosed to and invited to supply CVs. *The Good Practice Guidance* (annexed to this Guidance) should be circulated to Section chairs and distributed at every Section meeting in advance of decision-making, with the purpose of encouraging Sections to search for candidates from as wide a background as possible. Sections should regularly review long lists to ensure that new names are being promoted, and, where necessary, should remove from long lists candidates who are judged to be unlikely to achieve the Fellowship.
- 5. In their search for candidates, Sections should have regard to the Academy's new <u>Strategic</u> <u>Plan for 2023-27</u>. This plan identifies opening up the Academy as 'a strategic priority', with a commitment to 'broaden the diversity of our Fellowship, our staff and our funded researchers and to help foster a research community that is more representative of society.'
- 6. Following work by the Fellows Diversity Group (FDG), Council in 2021 adopted the following statement articulating why diversity is important for the Fellowship:

"Diversity is important and valuable to the Academy and Fellowship for reasons that map on to our three key roles as an independent fellowship of world-leading scholars and researchers; as a forum for debate and engagement; and as a funding body that supports new research, nationally and internationally.

• First, we need to ensure that the Academy engages effectively with the frontiers of our disciplines as well as consolidating existing research. A more diverse Fellowship should mean a more vibrant and intellectually challenging environment, bringing with it new ideas, new areas of research, and innovative approaches.

• Secondly, where there is currently a lack of diversity – as measured against indicators such as gender and ethnicity, geographical region, and fields of research - it alerts us to the high probability that we are failing to recognise excellence, because we are looking too narrowly for people who mirror the existing Fellowship.

• Finally, we need to ensure that the Fellowship has the necessary spread of expertise effectively to assess applications for funding. A greater diversity within the Fellowship would enable a tighter fit between funding applications and assessors' expertise."

- 7. The Academy approached Vice-Chancellors and heads of national organisations (British Museum, NHM, Tate, etc) in 2022 and will do so again in 2025 for suggestions of names to consider (this is usually done every three years). Names received are forwarded to Section chairs for consideration by Standing Committees.
- 8. In October Sections agree candidates for the ballot. Independent assessments are then sought for these candidates during the Autumn, and made available for Fellows to consult. The ballot is held online in February. Following the ballot, Sections meet in March to agree candidates for the consideration of Groups and Council for election in 2024. Fellowship and Structures Committee (FSC) meets in November and May to look at candidates for Honorary Fellowship,

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

and those that have emerged through the interstitial ballot route, and from the Ginger Groups (if any exist; none do in 2023).

- 9. Elections for Corresponding and Honorary Fellows run in parallel without disclosure or assessments. Sections consider names for the ballot in October 2023 and agree recommendations to Groups and Council in March 2024.
- 10. Notification of any concerns or issues with any candidate, through any route, should be signalled to the Section chair and Standing Committee at an early stage in the electoral round and preferably by the time of the October Section meeting.

#### C. ELECTION TO FELLOWSHIP

#### **Criteria for election to Fellowship**

11. The Academy's Charter (§6) defines Fellows as "persons who have attained distinction in some one or more of the branches of scientific study which it is the object of the Academy to promote". The Bye-Laws (§2) prescribe that:

A person may be elected a Fellow if they:

(2.1.1) [have] attained distinction in any of the branches of study which it is the object of the Academy to promote;

(2.1.2) [are], in the judgment of Council, whose decision on the matter shall be final, habitually resident in the UK, the Isle of Man, or the Channel Islands.

- 12. The prime criterion for election to Fellowship of the Academy is academic distinction as reflected in scholarly research activity and publication. Candidates, of whatever age, are expected to be demonstrably active in research and scholarly publication and to be able to contribute to the work of Academy. Eminent research leadership and other forms of contribution to the objects of the Academy may be taken into account if there is a need to choose between scholars of comparable scholarly distinction.
- 13. Council in 2013 adopted the following statement about research:

Research is understood by the British Academy as original and rigorous investigation undertaken in order to advance knowledge and understanding. It includes analytical, empirical, and normative inquiry and argument. It includes the creation, development, and maintenance of the intellectual infrastructure of subjects and disciplines, in forms such as translations, dictionaries, scholarly editions, catalogues and contributions to research databases. It includes the development of interpretative models and research methodologies. It includes, within a suitable scholarly context, the generation of ideas, images, performances, and artefacts, where these lead to new or improved scholarly understanding. It includes teaching materials and publications where these embody original research.

14. Council has resolved to encourage Sections actively to seek younger candidates, with the aim of ensuring a sufficient number of Fellows still in post and clearly in touch with developments in the contemporary world of scholarship and research. Following the removal of the Senior Fellowship quota for candidates over the age of 70, by agreement of AGM in 2009, there is no formal age criterion at election and all candidates, of whatever age, will be considered on their merits. However, Sections will be expected to explain, where relevant, why a candidate had

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

not been brought forward earlier. Council has agreed that particular scrutiny will be given to candidates whose major contribution to scholarship does not appear to be a recent one.

- 15. Council has agreed that the question of gender balance is of particular importance, while rejecting specific quotas for ballots or numbers to be elected. Sections are encouraged to look actively for qualified female candidates, reflecting the percentage of women in the Professoriate in the discipline, with a minimum expectation of at least one woman in their annual ballot, or to explain why in any given year that was not done. Council agreed to advise the Groups to take into account, when ranking candidates from Sections, the record of a Section that persistently fails to meet this expectation, without adequate explanation.
- 16. The criterion of "habitually resident" implies a settled intention to reside in the UK, the Isle of Man, or the Channel Islands at the time of the scholar's election to the Academy. There is no prescribed length of residence necessary before the date of election. A scholar who divides their time between the UK and another country is expected normally to spend half of the year in the UK. A candidate will be regarded as meeting the habitual residence test if they would otherwise meet the test but are on a temporary posting (for example on secondment) overseas and retains a primary contractual link with a UK organisation. A temporary posting is normally regarded as up to three years, but this is considered on a case-by-case basis. Under the Bye-Laws Council remains the final arbiter of whether a candidate meets the test.
- 17. A candidate proposed for election is expected to maintain links with the Academy and to play an active part in the Fellowship if they take up a temporary posting at an overseas institution shortly after election. Section chairs in need of advice should consult the Chief Executive.

#### **Elections to Fellowship**

- 18. Bye-Law 26 prescribes that election to Fellowship is made only at a General Meeting and only on the nomination of Council. In making its nominations to AGM, Council takes into account recommendations from the Groups and the FSC.
- 19. Bye-Law 3 prescribes that the number of Fellows that may be elected in any year is recommended by Council and agreed at AGM, it is currently 52. It is Academy policy to elect a balanced number of scholars in the humanities and social sciences. Therefore, each Group may look to achieve 50% of elections (subject to what is said below about candidates proposed by the FSC). The 50% figure given to the Groups is a guideline, not a quota, per annum.
- 20. There is no quota of elections per Section. The table at Appendix 1 shows the number of elections for each Section in each of the last ten years. The expectation that there is one election per Section is not a requirement or entitlement.
- 21. In their work related to the election of Fellows, Sections should have regard to the *Good Practice Guidance* drafted by the Reviews of Structures and Diversity group and approved by Council in July 2017, and annexed to this Guidance Paper as Appendix 7 (under review 2023).

#### **Disclosure to candidates**

22. It is Academy policy, pursuant to data protection obligations, to inform scholars, once a Proposal Form (PF) has been lodged, that information about them is being assembled and

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

held. Candidates are asked to confirm that they are content for their name and biographical information to be held on a database for four years for the purposes of the Academy. Candidates are also asked to supply a full *curriculum vitae* and a summary CV – thus relieving proposers of the task and ensuring that information used in the electoral process is accurate, complete and up-to-date. They are also asked, where relevant, to supply other information, e.g. citation scores. They are asked to declare that they are habitually resident in the UK and to undertake to let the Academy know if that changes, or if they are going to take up a posting at an overseas institution. They are also asked, for monitoring purposes, to declare, if they wish, their membership of an ethnic minority. A copy of the disclosure letter is at Appendix 5.

- 23. Disclosure does not apply to candidates for Corresponding and Honorary Fellowship.
- 24. Fellows should, therefore, be aware when completing a Proposal Form (PF) (the form is available from the Fellowship Manager) that the scholar in question will be informed that their name has been put forward for consideration. In order to contain the numbers to whom disclosure is made, Fellows are asked in the first instance to communicate names informally to their Section chair a name and a brief statement (say 50 words) describing the scholar's achievements will suffice. If the Section Standing Committee agrees that the candidate is a strong enough possibility for disclosure to be reasonable, a PF can be completed at that point.
- 25. Disclosure to individuals does not imply that their candidacy is in the public domain. So far as the Academy is concerned, candidacy remains confidential. Proposers and chairs should not communicate with candidates.
- 26. Candidates are asked to let the Academy hold information about them for four years, and to update it annually in the event of material change. They are not informed of their individual progress within the electoral system, save in the case of election. If a candidate is still under consideration after four years, the request is renewed.

#### **Elections in 2024**

- 27. Names of candidates to go for independent assessment will be agreed at the October Section meetings. Normally this will be the same (save for those for whom assessments are already in hand) as the list of candidates to go to the ballot in February in the following year. After independent assessments are received by the Academy, they will be checked with the Section chairs and Section Standing Committees.
- 28. **Nomination Forms and signatories**: Nomination forms will be completed, in the light of independent assessments, and signed by at least three and up to six Fellows. It is the Section chair's responsibility to make sure that the nomination text makes the full case for election, and includes any information that might be judged relevant in light of the Academy's strategic goal with regard to diversity. Fellows are able to sign in support of more than one candidate. To retain impartiality, chairs should not act as signatories to a nomination form. Fellows should not be a signatory to a nomination for a candidate from their own institution (for this purpose, colleges at Oxford and at Cambridge are regarded as part of a single institution, whereas colleges of the University of London are not).
- 29. Nominators can request the support of another Section in the appropriate box on the Nomination Form. Requests for support are included in the full Nomination booklet. Sections

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

can minute support at their Spring meetings. Expressions of support in the minutes get passed on to the Groups stage.

- 30. **Personal connection**: Fellows are asked to be scrupulous in declaring an institutional interest or any personal connection with a candidate under consideration. Personal connection might mean being a relative, or a member of the same academic department, or being a research collaborator, joint author, former supervisor, etc. Fellows are not debarred from voting for candidates from their own institution. Where there is a 'close personal connection' (spouse, partner, sibling, etc.) then Fellows are advised to leave the meeting room (whether real or virtual) at the relevant discussion point and should abstain from voting in a round where there is a candidate with whom they have a close personal connection. If the candidate in question is balloted as an interstitial candidate, the Fellow may still take part in the ordinary ballot (and vice versa). Fellows with close personal connections are encouraged to contact the Fellowship Manager for advice.
- 31. The ballot should be completed online in February, or by post and email if Fellows do not have access to the electronic version. The date for the return of the ballot will be circulated. The Fellowship Manager will convey the basic results data to individual Section chairs ahead of March Section meetings.
- 32. All members of the Section (except Emeritus Fellows and Corresponding Fellows) are eligible to participate in the ballot. Fellows are not debarred from voting for candidates from their own institution. Fellows are asked to complete the ballot regardless of whether they intend to be present at the March Section meeting attendance at the March Section meeting is not a substitute for completing the ballot. Fellows may only vote for candidates nominated by their own Section (or Sections, in the case of cross-members).
- 33. Cross-members in Sections where a candidate is balloted interstitially in both Sections concerned, are reminded to only vote once on the candidate. The electronic ballot should be set up so that this is enabled.
- 34. Section chairs receive guidance on recommended ways of analysing the ballot results, should they wish to analyse results further. The results are reported to the March Section meeting. Sections then choose which candidates to recommend to Group for election. Sections are asked to place candidates in rank order, and not to use the formula joint first, joint second, etc. It is the Spring meeting of the Section that decides which names from the ballot to recommend to Group; and in making the recommendation the result of the ballot shall be regarded as only one of the factors to be considered; but any recommendation to the relevant Group shall include a statement of the votes cast in the ballot.
- 35. Following the March meetings, the Sections' ranked recommendations with supporting summary will be forwarded to the Humanities or the Social Sciences Group as appropriate.
- 36. Sections are encouraged to express informed views about other Sections' candidates, even where they have not been specifically invited to do so. It may be difficult to subject such candidates to the same rigorous scrutiny as is given to the Section's own candidates; nevertheless, the degree and extent of support within the Section, and the reasons for it, should be fully minuted, to ensure that the Section's representatives on the relevant Group are as fully briefed as possible. Prompt communication of any such views to the Fellowship

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

Manager will ensure that they are taken into account by the other relevant Section. They will in any event be drawn to the attention of the relevant Group.

- 37. There are four 'hybrid' Sections, H4 Linguistics and Philology, H3 Africa, Asia and the Middle East, Culture, Media and Performance (CMP), and Education (Edu), which are represented on both Groups. Each of these hybrid Sections chooses, in the light of candidates' fields, which Group to recommend them to.
- 38. The Groups scrutinise the recommendations with the aim of ensuring a balance between disciplines, and compare candidates in related fields through an informed and considered discussion so as to ensure that the same standards and criteria are met across all the Sections. In their scrutiny work the Groups also take into account guidance from Council as to the Academic's strategic priorities on other matters (such as, for example, on the nature of academic research (para 11 above) and on questions of diversity (para 5 above)). In presenting the case for their own Section's candidates and in reporting the results of the ballot, Section chairs are at liberty to draw attention to any particular voting patterns that emerged and to interpret the results so that full justice to candidates is done. The Groups are responsible for recommending to Council a ranked list of the most distinguished candidates in any one year. Council will then recommend to the AGM a list of candidates for election.

#### Independent assessment

- 39. Independent assessment aims to strengthen the evidence base that underpins the electoral process and to support Sections and Groups in comparing and considering candidates. Sections remain the Academy's primary repository of expertise on the qualifications and assessment of candidates for the Fellowship. Independent assessors, chosen by Sections, supply assessments to assist the work of Sections, Groups and Council in comparing and ranking candidates, and help ensure that the Academy's procedures are demonstrably robust. A copy of the letter to assessors is at Appendix 6.
- 40. Assessors are agreed by Sections, often at the suggestion of Section Standing Committees or nominators, at the October Section meetings (or by the chair, following the Section meeting). Sections are invited to select at least one assessor per candidate (the norm is now two). It is the chair's responsibility to ensure that assessors of appropriate independent standing are selected. Because the referees may often not be able to do their assessments in the time available, it is helpful if the Section proposes a selection of more than two names, preferably with an indication of the order in which they should be approached: any subsequent additions to the list of assessors must be submitted to the Section chair for approval. When selecting assessors, Sections are asked to consider:
  - i. Corresponding Fellows of the British Academy;
  - ii. Fellows of an appropriate overseas academy;
  - iii. Other overseas academics of appropriate standing;
  - iv. UK experts outside of the Fellowship may in certain cases be appropriate (after consideration on a case-by-case basis).
- 41. In all cases it is important that the assessor is a scholar of appropriate standing not someone who is themselves likely to be a candidate for election and also demonstrably independent of the Academy and of the candidate. Assessors will be asked to declare any conflicts of interest, including being a recent co-author, colleague, etc.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

- 42. Following October Sections, invitations to submit assessments will be issued by the President. Fellows or chairs should have no contact with assessors. The President's letter of invitation includes:
  - i. Information about the Academy, guidance on the criteria for election, and the form of response that would be helpful;
  - ii. Information about the Academy's approach to research excellence and, where relevant to the process of election, its strategic priorities;
  - iii. A list of the current members of the Section/s in question as a guide to the eminence expected of Fellows of the Academy;
  - iv. A copy of the main text of the candidate's Nomination Form and CV;
  - v. Relevant data protection information.
- 43. Assessors will be asked to indicate:
  - i. their judgement of the significance of the candidate's work and achievements;
  - ii. whether the candidate would merit election to the equivalent Academy in the assessor's country.
- 44. Assessments are part of the evidence base to assist Sections, Groups and Council to supplement, and not to supplant, the judgement of Fellows in Sections. Assessors will be sent the text from the completed Proposal Form containing the structured case for election and informed that their assessment will be shared with Fellows of the Academy. Assessors are invited to write their assessment in any language. Assessments will be available for consultation electronically, and at Section Standing Committees, Section meetings and Groups, together with other nomination material. Assessments remain confidential and should not be shared outside of the Fellowship. If current Fellows are named within assessments (as a comparison) then the names will be redacted before sharing.
- 45. Assessments remain part of the evidence base for the period under which a candidate is under consideration for election, and for a maximum of five years. Sections are at liberty (e.g. in a subsequent year) to seek additional assessments beyond those initially commissioned, and should do so if earlier assessments have been held for over five years. Where an assessment appears to be unsatisfactory (e.g. slipshod or showing evidence of bias; not simply lukewarm or critical) the Section chair should approach the Chief Executive with a request that the President be consulted as to whether the assessment should be disregarded, and another sought in its place.

#### D. ELECTIONS TO CORRESPONDING FELLOWSHIP

- 46. Up to 30 scholars may be elected annually to Corresponding Fellowship (as recommended by Council ang agreed by AGM). Each Group may look to achieve up to 15 elections, but this number is to be regarded as a guideline, rather than a quota in any one year or over a period of years.
- 47. The Academy's Charter defines Fellows as "persons who have attained distinction in some one or more of the branches of scientific study which it is the object of the Academy to promote". The Bye-Laws clarify the expected difference between Fellows on the one hand, and Corresponding Fellows on the other. Corresponding Fellows are not habitually resident in the UK, the Isle of Man or the Channel Islands. Bye-Law 8.1 states:

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

A person may be elected a Corresponding Fellow if he or she has attained distinction and high international standing in any of the branches of study which it is the object of the Academy to promote.

Council's advice to Sections is that this is to be interpreted as meaning that *a higher level of distinction is called for in Corresponding Fellows than in Fellows*. Council has noted that a large proportion of Corresponding Fellows are affiliated to institutions in North America and Western Europe and encourages Sections and Groups to look for breadth of geographical coverage when considering potential Corresponding Fellowship candidates.

48. The case for election as a Corresponding Fellow should include evidence of:

- i. academic distinction and recent scholarly activity;
- ii. connection with and/or influence on the UK scholarly community;
- iii. the availability of the candidate to contribute to the work of the Academy;
- iv. the ability of the election to strengthen the Academy's international links.
- 49. Candidates, of whatever age, are expected to be demonstrably active in research and scholarly publication, and nominations should be drawn up with this in mind. There is no formal age criterion for election as a Corresponding Fellow and all candidates, of whatever age, will be considered on their merits. However, Sections will be expected to explain, where relevant, why a candidate had not been brought forward earlier. Council has agreed that particular scrutiny will be given to candidates whose major contribution to scholarship does not appear to be a recent one.
- 50. Council's expectations about gender also apply to the Corresponding Fellowship: Council has agreed that the question of gender balance is of particular importance, while rejecting specific quotas for ballots or numbers to be elected. Sections are encouraged to look actively for qualified female candidates and expected to include at least one woman in their annual ballot, or to explain why that was not done in any given year. Council has agreed to advise the Groups to take into account, when ranking candidates from Sections, the record of a Section that persistently fails to meet this expectation, without adequate explanation. Consistent with excellence, Sections are encouraged to consider geographical diversity (e.g. there is presently a high percentage of Corresponding Fellows from North America).
- 51. Disclosure and Independent Assessment do not apply to candidates for Corresponding Fellowship. This means that CVs are not supplied by candidates for Corresponding Fellowship, and a summary one-page CV is produced by the proposer.
- 52. Names of candidates to be balloted are agreed at the October 2023 Section meetings. Nomination Forms will be required by the date shown on the form, and signed by between three and six Fellows. To maintain impartiality, chairs should not act as signatories to a nomination form. Following the ballot, results will be reported to the March 2024 Section meetings. Sections are asked to place candidates in rank order, and not to use the formula joint first, joint second, etc. The process, involving Groups and Council, then follows the same pattern as for elections to the Fellowship.
- 53. There is no quota of elections per Section. The table at Appendix 2 shows the number of elections for each Section made in each of the last ten years.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

#### E. ELECTIONS TO HONORARY FELLOWSHIP

- 54. There is no maximum limit, but it is expected that up to four Honorary Fellows may be elected each year. The table at Appendix 3 shows the current number of Honorary Fellows and their year of election.
- 55. Bye-Law 10 states that "Honorary Fellows shall be either
  - i. persons of academic distinction in fields other than those specified within Article 2 of the Charter whose work has a bearing on the humanities or social sciences; or
  - ii. leading figures or philanthropists who have themselves done distinguished work in the Academy's field of interest or promoted or advanced the causes for which the Academy was founded."
- 56. Nominators are requested to make the case for election, highlighting how the candidate could help promote the Academy and humanities and social science research, and state under which category of Bye-Law the candidate is proposed.
- 57. Nominators should make reference to the following criteria when proposing and nominating for Honorary Fellowship:
  - A distinguished person in their field whose work demonstrates breadth and public service, and is engaged with / supportive of the Academy's disciplines (though the person may not have a background in them), and who shares (or can be assumed to share) the values of the Academy;
  - The potential for beneficial engagement of the Honorary Fellowship candidate in the work of the Academy (assuming the candidate were willing);
  - Projection of the Academy naming a person as an Honorary Fellow would send distinctive and positive messages externally as to the Academy's purposes and values;
  - The candidate is likely to have the support of the Fellowship;
  - The candidate to have some connection to the UK, and remains recently active in their field.
- 58. An annual 'call for names' for Honorary Fellows will occur each year (similar to suggestions for UK and Corresponding Fellows), accompanied by the Honorary Fellowship longlist. Fellows may suggest names using the forms. All names will be shared with Sections in October, who can also suggest further names.
- 59. Fellowship and Structures Committee (FSC) review all the suggestions that have come through each year and also review any names previously considered, at their November meeting. A shortlist of names is then circulated to March Sections for feedback. FSC makes final recommendations to June Council at their meeting in May. In addition to names proposed through Sections or by Fellows, FSC or Council may themselves propose names in November. Council decides in June, taking into account comments from Sections, on nominations to AGM. Feedback on why any particular nominee was unsuccessful will be provided by the Chief Executive upon request.
- 60. Independent assessment and disclosure do not apply to candidates for Honorary Fellowship.
- 61. The following questions should be addressed in completing the case for election (up to 500 words /one page in length):

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

- i. What is the person's principal area(s) of expertise?
- ii. For which disciplines is the work significant?
- iii. What original contribution have they made e.g. in what ways has the work influenced the humanities or social sciences?
- iv. How has any philanthropic support impacted on the humanities and social sciences?
- v. What evidence is there of the esteem in which this person is held, including internationally?
- vi. Other relevant points e.g. evidence of academic/research leadership, public service, impact on policy etc.
- vii. Those completing the form are invited to include, where relevant, information about ways in which a candidate contributes to diversity in the Section, by virtue of e.g. their subject area or methodological approach. Such information would be used only where it is relevant and not a general requirement for election. This would be then available to the Section in voting, but also to any further decision-making process upstream.

#### F. VOTING PROCEDURES AND CONFLICT OF INTEREST

- 62. Members eligible to vote are all the active members of a Section i.e. including cross-members but not those Fellows who have opted for Emeritus status, or Corresponding Fellows.
- 63. Cross-members in Sections where a candidate is balloted interstitially in both Sections concerned, are reminded to only vote once on the candidate. The electronic ballot should be set up so that this is enabled.
- 64. In discussion at Section meetings, Fellows are asked to be scrupulous in declaring any institutional interest or personal connection with a candidate under consideration. Fellows should abstain from voting in a round where there is a candidate with whom they have a 'close personal connection'. Fellows should not be a signatory to a nomination for a candidate from their own institution or where there is a personal connection. In order to maintain impartiality, chairs should also not be a signatory to any candidate. Declarations of interest should be declared at the beginning of Section Standing Committee meetings and at Section meetings.
- 65. **Personal connection**: As indicated above, Fellows are asked to be scrupulous in declaring an institutional interest or any personal connection with a candidate under consideration. Personal connection might mean being a relative, or a member of the same academic department, or being a research collaborator, joint author, former supervisor, etc. Where there is a 'close personal connection' (spouse, partner, sibling, etc.) then Fellows are advised to leave the meeting room at the relevant discussion point (whether 'real' or virtual) and should abstain from voting in a round where there is a candidate with whom they have a close personal connection. If the candidate in question is balloted as an interstitial candidate, the Fellow may still take part in the ordinary ballot (and vice versa). Fellows with close personal connections are encouraged to contact the Fellowship Manager for advice.
- 66. In the ballot, Section members should rank candidates by placing a number in the appropriate box in one of the three categories 'Outstanding', 'Clearly electable' or 'Case Inconclusive'). Note that '1st equal/2nd equal, etc' is not acceptable, and if ALL candidates *on whom an opinion has been expressed* are not ranked the Ballot Paper will be deemed invalid. (The electronic system will not enable an incomplete or inaccurate response to be submitted.)

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

Candidates about whom 'No Opinion' has been expressed should not be ranked; this column on the ballot paper will be a tick option only; the remaining candidates should be ranked in order.

67. Fellows may express an opinion where an interstitial candidate appears on the ballot form by a tick option only in the appropriate column. Interstitial candidates on the ballot paper are not ranked, nor ranked against each other (if there is more than one interstitial candidate on the ballot form).

Candidate	Outstanding	Clearly	Case	No opinion	Should not be
		electable	Inconclusive		elected
BROWN			3		
JONES	1				
ROBINSON		2			
THOMAS				$\checkmark$	

# INTERSTITIAL CANDIDATE – please MARK in the applicable column below – DO NOT RANK

Candidate	Outstanding	Clearly electable	Case Inconclusive	No opinion	Should not be elected
ADAMS					

- 68. Fellows are strongly discouraged from voting 'Case Inconclusive' in the event of unfamiliarity. A 'Case Inconclusive' vote indicates that a candidate is close to the minimum requirement for election, but not clearly electable, or that the candidate is not yet ready for election.
- 69. Fellows are strongly discouraged from voting 'No Opinion'. Fellows are encouraged to familiarise themselves with candidates' work and make a considered judgement on the evidence presented; if, however, despite their best efforts they are unable to form an informed opinion on a candidate's work they may indicate by a tick to express 'No Opinion', which indicates that a Fellow regards themselves as not qualified to judge, rather than that they are unfamiliar with a candidate's work.
- 70. A negative vote ('should not be elected') is a serious matter: it triggers significant processes, and should not be cast lightly, or where Case Inconclusive is the appropriate vote. A negative vote should be a very rare occurrence Fellows have the opportunity and responsibility to register any concerns at earlier stages of the electoral process. *Notification of such a view should be signalled to the Section chair and Standing Committee at an early stage in the electoral round and preferably by the time of the October Section meeting*. The electronic system will pick up where a negative vote has been received and the Fellowship Manager will check that the voter is aware that this is a serious matter before following the procedures below.
- 71. A negative vote is not a relative judgement, but a judgement that the candidate in question is unqualified. A negative vote is not a vote like others it is not a vote to be counted and analysed for ranking purposes it is a call for the candidacy to cease, on the grounds that the

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

candidate is unworthy of election. If upheld by a majority vote, it ends the candidacy. If overridden, it is then ignored, and not given further consideration.

- 72. Any Fellow who wishes to record a negative vote (by a tick) signifying that a candidate should not be elected must submit a written supporting argument to the chair of the Section. The supporting argument should be no more than 500 words: it has to be able to be read by members of the Section on arrival. The case should be focused on the academic record and conduct of the candidate a difference of scholarly opinion is not sufficient. The negative vote and written supporting argument must reach the Section chair not later than the due date, in order to give time for the chair to alert the candidate's signatories listed on the nomination paper, and elicit from them any counter-arguments, in time for these comments to be tabled together with the negative argument/s at the March Section meeting. The chair should inform the whole Section that a negative vote will be discussed at the Section meeting. *In the absence of a written argument submitted by the due date, no note will be taken of a negative vote.*
- 73. It is important that a negator declares any real, potential or apparent conflict of interest, including whether there is any personal connection or any history of scholarly dispute with the candidate in question. A negator should not circulate the case against to other members of the Section, either before the ballot deadline or before the Section meeting (owing to the risk of breach of confidentiality, a possible exposure to a suit for defamation, and damage to the reputation of the Academy). More generally, canvassing and encouraging others to cast negative votes is strongly discouraged. There should be no contact with the independent assessors.
- 74. Section members will be informed by the chair in advance that a negative vote has been lodged (and against whom), but will not see any documentation until the meeting (documents are not circulated to those unable to attend the meeting). Fellows who have cast a negative vote or who have signed the nomination form are strongly encouraged to attend the Section meeting and, if they do not, they are discouraged from commenting on decisions taken in their absence. The chair will lead, with advice from the Chief Executive, on how to approach the negative ballot within the Section meeting, due to the individual nature of the case. All written comments will be treated as in strict confidence and collected at the end of the meeting and destroyed. The minute of the Section discussion will be reported to Group and to Council.
- 75. If a negative vote is upheld by a majority vote, the candidacy ceases for the year in question. A Section is not disbarred from putting the candidate in question to the ballot in a subsequent year, if the case against no longer applies, e.g. if the objection had been that the body of work was insufficient to merit election.
- 76. If the Section meeting overrides the negative vote, the negator should cease activity (during that electoral cycle). The case against should not be pursued outside of the meeting, or after the Section's discussion and decision. There is no appeal against the Section's decision, although Group and Council will consider the minute of the Section's discussion.

#### G. SECTION STANDING COMMITTEES

77. Section Standing Committees play an important role in preparing for Section deliberations. They maintain "long lists" of eligible candidates, sift names brought to their attention by Fellows or put forward by Vice-Chancellors and others , and agree on those to be put before the

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

Section (including the preparation of Proposal Forms and identification of names of Independent Assessors).

- 78. Section Standing Committees are tasked with doing all that they can to ensure that the field of candidates they consider is as broad and representative as possible, and complies so far as possible with the guidance that is issued from time to time by Council on relevant aspects of the electoral process (as set out, for example, in paras 5 and 11 above). In order to achieve this, they should:
  - i. Survey the Section's fields and keep under review the subject areas within the field; monitor the strength of representation in the current Fellowship in each area.
  - ii. Extend the subject coverage when the intrinsic nature and the intellectual quality of the best work undertaken in a new field appear to justify an enlargement of the scope of the Section's subject coverage.
  - iii. Monitor gender, ethnic diversity, age and institutional balance, and encourage diversity.
  - iv. Inform the Vice Presidents for Humanities and Social Sciences as appropriate on the names that have emerged from the search for names that is occasionally undertaken by the Academy involving Vice-Chancellors and others (see para 6 above).
  - v. Be alert to potential candidates, including those balloted but not elected and those discussed but not balloted; consider the claims of staff in university departments which achieve high recognition, for example in research assessment exercises; and weigh the claims of outstanding scholars in other, less highly rated, institutions and those outside the university system.
  - vi. Consult Corresponding Fellows where appropriate.

#### H. THE GROUPS

- 79. The Humanities and Social Science Groups consist of representatives of Sections. They play an important part in the electoral process. The Groups meet in the Autumn for planning and other purposes, and in Spring to consider names for election put forward by Sections.
- 80. The Groups scrutinise the recommendations from Sections with the aim of ensuring a balance between disciplines, and compare candidates in related fields through an informed and considered discussion so as to ensure that the same standards and criteria are met across all the Sections. The Groups advise Council on the relative merits of the claims of candidates, with particular regard to the desirability of ensuring that distinction in all branches of study which it is the object of the Academy to promote is fairly recognised. Where, for whatever reason, a higher ranked candidate from a Section is not endorsed, the Group will look at the subsequently ranked candidate on their own merits.
- 81. Council has agreed to ask the Groups, when ranking candidates from Sections, also to be mindful of and in its decision-making to take into account:
  - i. any relative under-representation of Sections with regard to the discipline in the professoriate;
  - ii. gender;
  - iii. ethnic minority status;
  - iv. institutional affiliation/geography.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

#### I. FELLOWSHIP AND STRUCTURES COMMITTEE (FSC)

- 82. The FSC is responsible for oversight of the efficiency and integrity of the Academy's processes for election to the Fellowship.
- 83. The remit of FSC is:
  - i. to review the representation within the Fellowship of the subjects that make up the humanities and social sciences, and to recommend to Council any structural changes;
  - ii. to monitor the process of election to the Fellowship, and to report to Council, together with any recommendations for change;
  - iii. to consider the claims of persons whose work does not sufficiently fall within the purview of any one Section, and to make recommendations for election, directly to Council.

#### **Referral to Fellowship and Structures Committee (FSC)**

#### **Interstitial Candidates**

- 84. The intention behind the creation of the interstitial category of candidates was to create an opening for those scholars who did not fit squarely into the Sectional structure, but whose work crossed boundaries into more than one Section area. The reasoning behind this was that a candidate for whom this was an appropriate route to election might never gain enough ballot support in one Section where only part of their research lay, but that a combined ballot support across two or more Sections would give them a fairer chance at election. The desired outcome was that such candidates would not be disadvantaged by the Academy's Sectional structure and in particular its system of Sectional balloting.
- 85. Referrals usually come to FSC following a discussion at a Section Standing Committee or full Section. Section chairs are encouraged to consult one another where a scholar may appear to merit consideration by more than Section. The Proposal Form should be submitted, together with an explanation of why the work of the scholar in question does not fall sufficiently within the Section's purview and (in the case of a Fellow's individual nomination) why this route has been taken. This procedure applies for both Fellowship and Corresponding Fellowship.
- 86. FSC encourages Sections considering interstitial candidates to provide ballot evidence of the extent of support for a candidate across the Sections in question (which may be minority support in any one Section, but collectively amount to a significant extent). Simultaneous balloting of a candidate in more than one Section is a useful way of generating that evidence.
- 87. A Section identifies an interstitial candidate as such on its ballot form, which means that members are not asked to rank the interstitial candidate against the Section's other candidates. A Section's decision to include a candidate on its ballot as an interstitial is contingent on another Section's including that candidate (either as a mainstream or an interstitial) on its ballot. The efficient conduct of simultaneous elections requires appropriate consultation and preparation by the Section chairs involved, both in the approach to the Autumn Section meeting (where decisions are taken about putting candidates to the ballot) and at the Spring Section meeting (where the outcome of the ballot is considered). It includes an indication of which Section is the lead Section, who will be responsible for drafting the nomination and who will be the primary Section for the candidate, if elected.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

- 88. Sections in March see the ballot result, and may comment on these candidates if they wish. The Groups will be aware of any interstitial candidates and comments from Sections, and will also be free to comment on them, a comparative judgement being useful at this point.
- 89. FSC will consider the combined ballot results, along with comments from Sections and Groups at their meeting in Spring. Recommendations are made direct to Council for decision. It is for Council to determine whether any of the FSC's recommendations are to be preferred to any from a Group or over any independent candidates that might also have been recommended. This applies for both Fellowship and Corresponding Fellowship.
- 90. Upon election, in addition to a primary Section, cross-membership will be assumed if a Section agrees to put a scholar on the ballot as an interstitial candidate and they are elected unless the Section agrees at the Spring meeting NOT to (e.g. if the ballot result in that Section is weak or for some other reason). After election, these candidates' cross-memberships will go to Council for approval. The new Fellows are then able to participate at Autumn Sections in both their primary and cross-Sections (though the cross-Sections may want to formalise their membership at the beginning of the meeting). Cross-membership acceptance is not guaranteed by the Fellow.

#### **Other Candidates**

91. It is possible that there will be potential candidates for election whose work establishes their distinction but for whom no Section or Sections seems to provide even a partial home. It is acknowledged that this is likely to be an exceptional category. Sections, Standing Committees, or Fellows are invited to refer the name of potentially suitable such candidates to FSC for further discussion and consultation within the Fellowship. This applies for both Fellowship and Corresponding Fellowship.

#### J. GUIDANCE TO PROPOSERS

- 92. The chair and Section Standing Committees maintain and update the longlists of eligible candidates. Due to data protection laws, these are not held or updated by the Academy, but the latest versions can be distributed with papers. Any Fellow may suggest the name of a candidate for consideration by their Section, or bring a name to the attention of the chair of another Section. An annual letter from Section chairs invites suggestions from Section members.
- 93. *Suggested names*: Fellows who wish to bring a name to the attention of their Section's Standing Committee are asked to send a short note of the name and principal achievements to their Section chair. Disclosure to candidates does not apply at this stage.
- 94. *Proposal Forms* (PF): It is Academy policy to disclose to scholars, on receipt of a PF, that they are under consideration for the Fellowship. Fellows are asked initially to send a short note of the name and principal achievements to the Section chair for consideration by Section Standing Committee, which will then commission the completion of a PF. PFs are the basis on which the Section decides whether to commission an independent assessment. They contain the case for election which will also be shown to the independent assessor, together with the summary CV supplied by the candidate. Proposers will be sent the candidate's full CV.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

- 95. The following questions should be addressed in completing the case for election (up to 500 words /one page in length):
  - i. What is the person's principal area(s) of expertise?
  - ii. For which disciplines is the work significant?
  - iii. Have they been active in the last 3 years, and are there prospects of continued activity?
  - iv. What original contribution have they made for example in what ways has the work changed the subject, or influenced other scholars?
  - v. What evidence is there of the esteem in which this person is held, including internationally?
  - vi. Other relevant points e.g. evidence of academic/research leadership, public service, impact on policy etc.
  - vii. What are the principal relevant publications (noting that the candidate will be asked to supply a CV containing publication information)?
  - viii. Those completing the form are invited to include, where relevant, information about ways in which a candidate contributes to diversity in the Section, by virtue of e.g. their subject area or methodological approach. Such information would be used only where it is relevant and not a general requirement for election. This would be then available to the Section in voting, but also to any further decision-making process upstream.
- 96. *Nomination Forms*: Following discussion by a Section and decision to put the candidate to the ballot, and subsequent receipt of assessments, the proposer completes a Nomination Form, adjusting the text of the case for election, as desired, in the light of the assessors' reports and Section discussion. Reference may be made to the summary CV and CV supplied by the candidate, and independent assessments. Fellows will have the candidate's summary CV in their papers; there will be no need to repeat what is in the CV, but proposers are encouraged to add anything relevant from the candidate's CV or record that the candidate has failed to include or highlight. They may also wish to refer to any relevant points made by assessors (Fellows will have access to assessments but may find it useful to be reminded of any key points from assessors). The Nomination Form is signed by between three and six Fellows (none of them from the same institution as the candidate). Signatories are normally, but not necessarily, drawn from the primary or cross-members of Section. Fellows are able to sign in support for more than one candidate. The Section chair does not sign a Nomination Form, in order to avoid any possible conflict of interest.
- 97. In addition, Fellows are advised to bear in mind that:
  - i. The nomination is for the wider Fellowship (including Groups and Council), not simply the Section;
  - ii. It should present considered statements of the evidence of achievement, i.e. the case for election, rather than personal judgments;
  - iii. It should not refer to the candidate by first name or give any other impression of familiarity, except with the candidate's work;
  - iv. It can usefully refer to any relevant information in the candidate's CV (e.g. evidence of recent research activity) or in the independent assessments.
- 98. Nominators can request the support of another Section in the appropriate box on the Nomination Form. Requests for support are included in the full Nomination booklet. Sections can minute support at their Spring meetings. Expressions of support in the minutes get passed on to the Groups stage.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

99. *Citations*: Nomination Forms contain a box asking for a summary citation of up to 50 words. This citation is used for publicity purposes in the event of election, and it is read out by the President at the Ceremony of Admission of New Fellows. For these reasons, it is important that citations are neutral and factual statements of a scholar's area of interest and achievement. It is helpful if citations avoid exaggerated claims or other possibly erroneous (even embarrassing) formulations. They ought *not* to be seen as part of a rhetorical case for election.

MP July 2023

Approved by FSC: [23 May 2023]; by Council: [13 June 2023]; by AGM: [20 July 2023]

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

#### FELLOWSHIP: Numbers elected to the Fellowship 2014-2023, by Section

Section	No. of elections in 2014	No. of elections in 2015	No. of elections in 2016	No. of elections in 2017	No. of elections in 2018	No. of elections in 2019	No. of elections in 2020	No. of elections in 2021	No. of elections in 2022	No. of elections in 2023
H1 Classical Antiquity	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
H2 Theology and Religious Studies	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2 +1FSC	2	2
*H3 Africa, Asia and the Middle East	1 (H)	2(1H+1S) + 1FSC	1 (H)	2 (H) +1FSC	2 (H)	2 (1H + 1SS)	2 (1H + 1SS)	2 (2H) +1FSC (H)	2 (H)	1 (H)
*H4 Linguistics and Philology	1 (H)	2 (1H+1SS)	1 (H)	2 (1H+1SS)	2 (1H+1SS)	2(1H+1SS) +1FSC (H)	2(1H+1SS) +1FSC (H)	2 (1H + 1SS)	2 (1SS + 1H)	2 (1SS + 1H)
H5 Early Modern Languages & Literature to 1830	1	1	2	2	1	3	2	2	2	1
H6 Modern Languages, Literatures and Other Media from 1830	2 +1FSC	2+1FSC	2+1FSC	1+1FSC	1+1FSC	2+1FSC	2	2	2+ 1FSC	2 + 1FSC
H7 Archaeology	1 +1FSC	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2
H8 Medieval Studies	1 +1FSC	2	1+1FSC	2	1	2	2+1FSC	2	2	2
H9 Early Modern History to 1850	1	2	2	1	3+1FSC	2	2	2	2	3
H10 Modern History from 1850	2	1	2	2	2	2	2+1FSC	2	2	2
H11 History of Art and Music	2	2	2	1+1FSC	3	2+1FSC	2	2	2+ 1FSC	3
H12 Philosophy	2	1+1FSC	1	1+1FSC	2	2	2	2	2	2
*Culture, Media & Performance (CMP)	-	-	-	-	2	3(1H+2SS)	2 (1H+1SS)	2 (1H+1SS)	2 (SS)	3 (1SS+2H)
Humanities Group	21	21	20	22	26	27	26	28	25	26
S1 Law	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3
S2 Economics and Economic History	3	2	3	3+1FSC	3	2	3	3	3+ 1FSC	2
S3 Anthropology and Geography	3	3	2+1FSC	2	4+1FSC	3	2	2 + 1FSC	3+ 2FSC	3
S4 Sociology, Demography & Social Statistics	2 + 1FSC	2+2FSC	4+2FSC	3+1FSC	4+1FSC	3	3	3	2	3
S5 Political Studies: Political Theory, Government, & Intrnational Relations	3 +1FSC	2+1FSC	2+1FSC	2+1FSC	3	3+1FSC	3	3	2	3 + 1FSC
S6 Psychology	4 +1FSC	4	4	4	3	3	3+1FSC	2	3	3
Management & Business Studies (MBS)	-	-	-	-	2	3	3	3	3	3
*Education Group	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	3 + 1FSC	2 (SS)	3 (SS)
Social Sciences Group	21	21	22	20	26	25	26	24	27	26

\*Hybrid Section (H) indicates the election of a Humanities scholar, (SS) the election of a Social Scientist

Appendix 1

Paper identifier Meeting		Date	Protective Marking	
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None	

#### CORRESPONDING FELLOWSHIP: Numbers elected to the Corresponding Fellowship 2014-23, by Section

#### Appendix 2

Section	No of Corresponding Fellows	No. of elections in 2014	No. of elections in 2015	No. of elections in 2016	No. of elections in 2017	No. of elections in 2018	No. of elections in 2019	No. of elections in 2020	No. of elections in 2021	No. of elections in 2022	No. of elections in 2023
H1 Classical Antiquity	21	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
H2 Theology and Religious Studies	16	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
H3 Africa, Asia and the Middle East	21	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	2	1+1FSC	1
H4 Linguistics and Philology	18	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1
H5 Early Modern Languages & Literature to 1830	20	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
H6 Modern Languages, Literatures and Other Media from 1830	17	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
H7 Archaeology	18	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2
H8 Medieval Studies	21	1	0+1FSC	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	1
H9 Early Modern History to 1850	25	1	1	1	1	1	1	0+1FSC	1 + 1FSC	1+1FSC	1
H10 Modern History from 1850	18	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1
H11 History of Art and Music	17	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1
H12 Philosophy	25	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	2
Culture, Media and Performance (CMP)	8	-	-	-	-	0	1	1	2 +1FSC	1	1
Humanities Group	245	8	10	10	9	10	11	13	18	16	15
S1 Law	38	1	2	1	2	2	1	3	2	2	2
S2 Economics and Economic History	35	1	1	2+1FSC	1	2	1	2	1	1	2
S3 Anthropology and Geography	32	1	1	1	1+1FSC	2	2	2	2	2	2 + 1FSC
S4 Sociology, Demography & Social Statistics	22	1	2	2+1FSC	0+2FSC	0	1	1	0	2	0
S5 Political Studies: Political Theory, Government, & International Relations	31	1	2	1	2	2	1	2+1FSC	2	2	2
S6 Psychology	22	2	2	1	2	2	2	1+1FSC	2	1	2
Management & Business Studies (MBS)	10	-	-	-	-	0	1	2	2	1	2
Education Group	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1	2	2
Social Sciences Group	198	7	10	10	11	10	9	17	12	13	15

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking		
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None		

#### HONORARY FELLOWSHIP AT 2023

Appendix 3

Name	Current Post Title	Elected			
Rt Hon Lord Rothschild OM, GBE	Formerly Chairman RIT Capital Partners; formerly Chairman, National Gallery; and				
	National Heritage Memorial Fund				
Mr Neil MacGregor OM	Former Director, National Gallery, British Museum and Humboldt Forum, Berlin; Special				
	Advisor to the J Paul Getty Trust on International Co-operations	2000			
Rt Hon Lord Woolf PC	Formerly Lord Chief Justice of England; President Commercial and Civil Court Qatar;				
	Chief Justice of the Astana International Financial Centre Kazakhstan; additional Judge				
	Hong Kong Final Court of Appeal	2000			
Professor David Rhind CBE, FRS	Formerly Chairman, Nuffield Foundation	2002			
Rt Hon Baroness Hale DBE	Formerly Justice and President of the Supreme Court of the United Kingdom	2004			
Dr David Packard	President, Packard Humanities Institute	2006			
Dr Lisbet Rausing	Co-Founder, Arcadia Fund	2006			
Professor Sir Michael Marmot FMedSci	Director, UCL Institute of Health Equity	2008			
Lord Bragg of Wigton FRS, FRSL, FRTS	Independent writer and broadcaster	2010			
Sir Tim Berners-Lee OM, KBE, FRS,	Inventor of World Wide Web; CTO, Inrupt; Co-Founder, Web Foundation and Open Data				
FREng, FRSA	Institute; 3Com Founder Professor, MIT; Professor of Computer Science, Oxford	2011			
Professor Sir Richard Brook OBE, ScD,	Emeritus Professor, Department of Materials, University of Oxford; Formerly Director of				
FREng	The Leverhulme Trust	2011			
Baron Rees of Ludlow OM, FRS	Astronomer Royal; Formerly President, The Royal Society; Fellow (formerly Master),				
	Trinity College, Cambridge	2012			
Dame Fiona Reynolds DBE	Chair of the National Audit Office; formerly Master of Emmanuel College, Cambridge;				
	formerly Director-General, The National Trust	2012			
Baroness Kennedy of The Shaws QC, FRSA	Chancellor, Sheffield Hallam University	2013			
Dame Elizabeth (Liz) Forgan DBE	Chair, Guardian Foundation; Trustee, Landmark Trust; Trustee, Art Fund; Chair, Aurora				
	Orchestra	2014			
Lord O'Donnell GCB	Chairman, Frontier Economics; Strategic Advisor, TD Bank; Non-Executive Director,				
	Brookfield Asset Management; Visiting Professor, LSE and UCL; Trustee, The Economist;				
	former Cabinet Secretary and Head of the civil service	2014			
Dame Lynne Brindley DBE, FRSA	Formerly Master of Pembroke College, Oxford	2015			

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

Dame Carol Ann Duffy DBE, FRSL	Professor of Contemporary Poetry and Creative Director of the Manchester Writing	
	School, Manchester Metropolitan University; Formerly Poet Laureate	2015
Sir John Eliot Gardiner CBE	Founder and Artistic Director, Monteverdi Choir, Orchestre Révolutionnaire et	
	Romantique and English Baroque Soloists; President, Bach-Archiv Leipzig	2015
Sir Paul Nurse FRS, FMedSci	Director, Francis Crick Institute	2016
Justice Catherine O'Regan	Former Judge, Constitutional Court, South Africa; Director, Bonavero Institute of Human	
	Rights, University of Oxford; Honorary Professor, University of Cape Town	2016
Lord Sainsbury of Turville	Founder, Gatsby Charitable Foundation; Chancellor, University of Cambridge	2016
Dr Janet L Yellen	Secretary of the Treasury of the United States; Former Distinguished Fellow, Brookings	
	Institution; Former Chairman of the Board of Governors, Federal Reserve System	2016
Dame Antonia Byatt DBE, CBE, FRSL	Novelist	2017
Mrs Graça Machel Hon DBE	Chancellor, University Cape Town; Chancellor, African Leadership University; President,	
	SOAS University of London; Founder and Chair, Graça Machel Trust	2017
Mr George Soros	Chairman, Soros Fund Management, LLC; Founder and Chairman, Open Society	
	Foundations	2017
Sir Tom Stoppard OM, CBE, FRSL	Playwright and screen-writer	2017
Baroness Joan Bakewell DBE	President of Birkbeck, University of London; Member, House of Lords	2018
Sir Andrew Dilnot CBE	Warden, Nuffield College Oxford	2018
Tony Harrison	Poet, translator and playwright	2018
Ms Mary-Kay Wilmers	Contributing Editor, London Review of Books	2018
Mr Michael Frayn FRSL	Writer	2019
Professor Margaret MacMillan CC, CH	Emeritus Professor of International History, University of Oxford; Professor of History,	
	University of Toronto	2019
Ms Eleanor Sharpston QC	Former Advocate General, Court of Justice of the European Union	2019
Dr Robin Jackson CBE	Former Chief Executive & Secretary, The British Academy	2020
Ms Bridget Kendall MBE	Master, Peterhouse, Cambridge; broadcaster and former BBC diplomatic correspondent	2020
Mrs Mary Robinson	Adjunct Professor of Climate Justice, Trinity College Dublin; Chair of The Elders; former	
	President of Ireland	2020
Professor Gary Younge FAcSS	Professor of Sociology, University of Manchester; Journalist and author	2020
Professor Simon Armitage CBE, FRSL	Poet Laureate; Professor of Poetry, University of Leeds	2021
Baroness Minouche Shafik DBE	Director, London School of Economics and Political Science	2021

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking		
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None		

Mr Darren Walker	President, Ford Foundation	2021
Professor Dame Anne Johnson DBE, MD,	Professor of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Co-Director UCL Health of the Public;	
PMedSci, FRCP, FFPH, FRCGP	President, UK Academy of Medical Sciences	2022
Ms Bronwen Maddox	Director and CEO, Chatham House	2022
Professor David Olusoga OBE	Professor of Public History, University of Manchester	2022
Professor Benjamin Zephaniah	Professor of Poetry and Creative Writing, Brunel University; Visiting Professor, De	
	Montfort University	2022
Sir John Akomfrah OBE, CBE, RA	Film-maker, artist and writer	2023
Professor Abdulrazak Gurnah FRSL	Emeritus Professor of English and Postcolonial Literatures, University of Kent	2023
Professor Jackie Kay MBE, CBE, FRSL	Chair of Creative Writing, University of Salford; Cultural Fellow, Glasgow Caledonian	
	University	2023
Professor Philippe Sands KC, FRSL	Professor of Public Understanding of Law, University College London	2023

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

#### AGE RANGE OF FELLOWSHIP

#### Table 1: Average Age at election of Fellows 2004-2023

		Average Age at Election minus	Average Age at Election of
	Average Age at Election	Senior Fellow elections	Corresponding Fellows
2004	56.6	55.1	63.9
2005	56.6	55.3	67.4
2006	57.2	55.4	64.7
2007	57.4	55.7	61
2008	58.4	57.1	66.7
2009	58.7	57.3	67.9
2010	59.3	58.1	60.9
2011	56.6	56.6	62.5
2012	59.9	59.9	65.6
2013	55.8	55.8	62.9
2014	55.5	55.5	65.7
2015	57.6	57.6	65.9
2016	59	59	62.1
2017	58.9	58.9	62.2
2018	57	57	68.5
2019	57	57	69.6
2020	61.2	61.2	65.7
2021	59.8	59.8	65.9
2022	58.3	58.3	65.7
2023	58.6	58.6	64.6

#### Table 2: Age Range of all UK Fellows

	1987	%	1998	%	2008	%	2018	%	2023	%
40-49	32	6%	28	4%	22	2%	27	3%	18	1.4%
50-59	104	21%	173	25%	161	18%	148	14%	178	15%
60-69	152	31%	206	29%	326	37%	334	31%	338	28%
70-79	144	29%	177	25%	228	26%	377	35%	432 (of whom 59	35% (36%
									are Emeritus)	excl
										Emeritus)
80-89	60	12%	102	15%	125	14%	164	15%	222 (of whom 91	18% (12%
									are Emeritus)	excl
										Emeritus)
90+	5	1%	13	2%	27	3%	20	2%	37 (of whom 26	3% (1%
									are Emeritus)	excl
										Emeritus)
Total	497		699		889		1070		1225 (of whom	
									176 Emeritus)	

The average age of all UK Fellows is 70.8. For UK Fellows under 70, it is 61. In 2014 the average age of UK Fellows under 70 was 62, in 2004 60, in 1998 59, and in 1987 57.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

#### **GENDER DISTRIBUTION**

#### Table 3: Elections to UK Fellowship in the last ten years, Men and Women

	N	len	Wa	omen	Elections each year
2014	19	45%	23	55%	42
2015	27	64%	15	36%	42
2016	25	60%	17	40%	42
2017	26	62%	16	38%	42
2018	27	52%	25	48%	52
2019	26	50%	26	50%	52
2020	29	56%	23	44%	52
2021	29	56%	23	44%	52
2022	23	44%	29	56%	52
2023	26	50%	26	50%	52

Women make up 46% of the elections to the UK Fellowship over the last 10 years; 49% over the last 5 years.

#### Table 4: Total Number of UK Fellows, Men and Women

	Men		Women	
2014	794	80%	195	20%
2015	790	79%	207	21%
2016	796	78%	219	22%
2017	810	78%	232	22%
2018	815	76%	255	24%
2019	830	75%	281	25%
2020	838	73%	303	27%
2021	845	72%	324	28%
2022	849	71%	349	29%
2023	854	70%	371	30%

The % of women in the active UK Fellowship overall is 32% in 2023, and in Fellows under 70 it is 43%. 27% of staff holding the title of Professor in HE are female (HESA 2019/20). In the overall HE academic workforce 47% of academic staff are female.

#### INSTITUTIONAL DISTRIBUTION

Table 5: Regional diversity in the active UK Fellowship 2019-23

	2023	2022	2021	2020	2019
England – Golden Triangle	55%	55%	56%	55%	54%
Rest of England	30%	29.7%	29.7%	29%	33%
Scotland	7%	6.6%	6.5%	7%	6%
Wales	1.5%	1.8%	1.4%	2%	1.4%
Northern Ireland	0.8%	1%	0.9%	1%	0.8%
Overseas	6%	5.9%	5.5%	6%	5%

(England Golden Triangle = UCL, Imperial, KCL, QMUL, LSE, Oxford, Cambridge)

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

Dear

I write to invite you to consent to the gathering, holding, and sharing of information about you as part of the electoral processes of the British Academy. The British Academy, the UK's academy for the humanities and the social sciences, exists to promote and represent advanced research. It is an independent, self-governing Fellowship of over 1000 scholars. Each year the Academy recognises academic excellence and achievement by electing new Fellows. For information about the Academy, see the website: <u>www.britac.ac.uk</u>.

Election to the Fellowship is strictly limited. Each year no more than 52 UK Fellows are elected across all disciplines. This inevitably means that the majority of those on whom data is collected are not elected, and those who are successful are rarely elected immediately after initial data collection. The number of scholars on whom data is held at any time is approximately 400. Any communication about election to the Academy should be exclusively with me: any form of lobbying is forbidden.

Your co-operation will help ensure that the information used is accurate, complete, and up to date. If you are content, please sign and return the attached declaration form by email, to confirm that you have read the Privacy Notice and agree to the Academy holding your details for a period of four years. Please also forward electronically a copy of your full CV and a one-page summary CV (a template is enclosed), to the Fellowship Manager (email: <u>thefellowship@thebritishacademy.ac.uk</u>). I also enclose a voluntary monitoring form for ethnicity. Please return everything by 19 August 2023. We undertake to hold all personal data in confidence, and to use them only for the purposes of fair and rigorous procedures.

I also invite you, in the event of any material changes, to send an update of your CV and summary CV at this point in the year during each of the next four years. After four years, information will no longer be retained without renewed permission. If, after four years, you do not hear from the Academy then you can assume that your information is no longer required. Elections to the Fellowship are announced following the Annual General Meeting in July each year.

Yours sincerely

Enclosures: Privacy Notice Electoral Processes Ethnicity Monitoring Form Template for summary CV

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

The British Academy is the national academy for the humanities and the social sciences, and exists to promote, sustain, and represent advanced research in these fields. It was established by Royal Charter in 1902 and is an independent, self-governing Fellowship of more than 1200 scholars, both UK and overseas. Each year the Academy recognises academic excellence and achievement by electing new Fellows in the disciplines that it serves and promotes. Fellows of the Academy can also expect to become involved in the electoral, research awards or committee work of the Academy. More information about the Academy is available from our website.

I am writing to ask whether you could help the Academy by providing an assessment of the academic standing and achievements of XXX, who has been placed on a shortlist by Fellows in the relevant field for consideration for election to the Fellowship of the Academy. In order to help us rank candidates in a particular discipline against one another, and against those working in other fields, we are seeking independent assessment. The evidence on which election is based will be greatly strengthened by your contribution. Election to the Academy is highly competitive and a critical assessment, supported by evidence of scholarly achievement, would be of great help. You are invited to mention any reservations you may have about the candidate. I know all too well that there must be many such calls on your time, but also that you will understand that we can ask only the most distinguished scholars to make these judgments.

What I am looking for is a short letter – no more than 800 words – that provides your frank judgment on the following points:

i. What has been the importance of the candidate's contribution to the field in which he or she works? Has their work changed this field? What evidence is there of the esteem in which the candidate is held?

ii. Has the candidate's work achieved, or is it likely to achieve, significance comparable to that of the work of those already elected to the Fellowship of the British Academy in this discipline?iii. Is the candidate a credible candidate for Fellowship of the British Academy when compared with those elected by other academies with which you are familiar, or with other candidates whom you may have been asked to assess for election to the British Academy?

iv. You are invited to include, where relevant, information about ways in which a candidate contributes to diversity by virtue of e.g. their subject area or methodological approach.

I would be grateful if you could let the Fellowship Manager know (preferably by e-mail: <u>thefellowship@thebritishacademy.ac.uk</u>) whether you can help us on this occasion. If you can, she will then provide the candidate's *curriculum vitae* and other materials, together with a succinct account of the Academy and its electoral procedures and its criteria for election, and a list of Fellows in the relevant disciplinary Section. Your assessment letter should be addressed to me personally and can be sent by e-mail (preferably) or in hard copy. It will be very helpful if it can be received by **9<sup>th</sup> December 2023 at the latest**. You are free to write in any language.

The Academy's electoral procedures are undertaken in strict confidence, although your assessment letter may be shared in confidence with Fellows of the Academy. I ask you not to contact the candidate (who will be aware that his/her name is under consideration, but not that it is on a shortlist of those for whom independent assessment is being sought) or to discuss the case for election with third parties. To repeat, election is intensely competitive, and your assessment will assist us greatly in the selection process. Assessments are held for a maximum of 5 years. We may ask you to update your assessment within this time.

The results of the current electoral cycle will be announced in July 2024. With many thanks for your help.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

#### GOOD PRACTICE GUIDANCE NOTE FOR SECTIONS

# Suggested Actions for Section Chairs and Standing Committees to increase Fellowship Diversity

- 1. This short document is suggestive not prescriptive. It is expected that Sections will adapt the suggested actions to their own needs and circumstances. It is assumed that research excellence is always the fundamental criterion by which possible candidates for the Fellowship (and the Corresponding Fellowship) are judged. Sections are advised to be pro- active and unrelenting, if they are not already, in trying to increase the diversity of the individuals that are balloted for the Fellowship (and Corresponding Fellowship).
- 2. Section Chairs and SSC members should see it as their responsibility to take the initiative in identifying suitably qualified (that is, intellectually outstanding) individuals from underrepresented groups. Although, in principle, 'under-represented groups' include all 'legally protected categories' as defined by equality legislation, in practice most Sections will probably need to focus primarily on trying to increase diversity in terms of gender, age, and ethnicity. It is also suggested that geographical location is taken into consideration (non-Golden Triangle institutions)

#### **Suggested Actions**

- **3.** Sections should be as systematic as possible in the management of long-lists. This could include:
  - Restricting the number of long-list names to perhaps no more than 20-25 individuals (ideally culling names if others are added) who are genuinely likely to be elected to the Fellowship within the next 5 years or so;
  - Insisting that a written case be made for inclusion on the long list, in which the individual's key intellectual contribution is clearly and compellingly stated.
  - Review the active long-list every year in particular those who have not progressed to ballot (these can be re-added at a later stage if suitable).
  - Maintain and review the historical long list at least every 2-3 years
  - Sections could assign a member of the Section Standing Committee as an EDI champion (this person could also act as deputy Chair).
- 4. It is suggested that the Section Chairs could hold an additional online meeting for the Section (possibly hybrid if the Chair prefers), after the Call for Names opens in May and before Section Standing Committee meets, to receive any new names to take forward to the Section Standing Committee. Academy staff can assist with the set-up of these meetings at the request of Chairs.
- 5. Section Chairs should actively solicit views from Fellows on possible women candidates for the Section shortlist (Sections should normally include at least one woman on their ballot or explain why not). A similar approach could be adopted, where relevant, for other underrepresented groups. When views are solicited, those suggesting names should be reminded that any written case needs to be very strong: the proposers need to put a lot of effort into the proposals.
- 6. SSCs could institute a regular agenda item to consider whether there are any 'new' or 'nonmainstream' areas of the discipline(s) where the Section is underrepresented and where there are excellent scholars who might merit short-listing for the Fellowship. Again, if there are, SSC would need to take the initiative in soliciting written recommendations from existing Fellows.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

- 7. One option could be that every 3-5 years SSCs could assemble a list of all Professors in the UK (remembering that the Fellowship is also open to independent scholars). If helpful, this could be cross-referenced to departmental performance in the previous REF, with particular emphasis on identifying potentially strong candidates who might otherwise be overlooked. If a Section has identified a particular gap in the make-up of the Section Academy staff can help with researching a list of suggestions to help fill it. Some data about the make-up of the Section in relation to the make-up of the Fellowship overall is available for Chairs to share with Sections should this be of use.
- 8. Where relevant, citations data (over the previous 5-10 years) on individuals in the profession could be obtained. There may be individuals who are very widely cited but who are otherwise not on the Section's radar. SSCs could also check the publications of the top publishers and journals in the field, again to identify individuals who might not otherwise be considered by the Section. In any such case, the SSC would need to be proactive in persuading a Section member to write a strong supporting case.

Paper identifier	Meeting	Date	Protective Marking
Paper A	Sections	October 2023	None

#### Index

Ballot/Voting procedures – Paragraphs 62-76 Negative votes – Paragraphs 70-76

Conflict of Interest – Paragraphs 62-65

Diversity of the Fellowship – Paragraphs 3-10

Electoral Process: Executive Summary – Paragraphs 1-2

Election to Fellowship – Paragraphs 18-21, 27-38 Criteria for Election to Fellowship – Paragraphs 11-17 Disclosure process – Paragraphs 22-26 Appendix 5 Independent assessment process – Paragraphs 39-45, Appendix 6 Interstitial candidates – Paragraphs 84-90 Nomination Forms – Paragraphs 28-29, 96-98 Number of elections to the Fellowship over 10 years – Appendix 1 Personal connections – Paragraph 30 Proposal Forms – Paragraphs 94-95 Statistical analysis of Fellowship – Appendix 4

Election to Corresponding Fellowship – Paragraphs 46-53 Criteria for Election to Corresponding Fellowship – Paragraph 47 Interstitial candidates – Paragraphs 84-90 Nomination Forms – Paragraphs 28-29, 96-98 Number of elections to the Corresponding Fellowship over 10 years – Appendix 2 Personal connections – Paragraph 30 Proposal Forms – Paragraphs 94-95

Election to Honorary Fellowship – Paragraphs 54-61 Criteria for Election to Honorary Fellowship – Paragraph 55-57 List of Honorary Fellows – Appendix 3

Fellowship and Structures Committee (FSC) - Paragraphs 82-91

Groups – Paragraphs 79-81

Section Standing Committees - Paragraphs 77-78

Timetable of Electoral Cycle – Paragraph 2

Appendix 1 – Number of elections to the Fellowship over 10 years

Appendix 2 – Number of elections to the Corresponding Fellowship over 10 years

Appendix 3 - List of Honorary Fellows

Appendix 4 – Statistical analysis of Fellowship

Appendix 5 – Draft letter to candidates re disclosure

Appendix 6 - Draft letter to assessors re independent assessment

Appendix 7 – Good Practice Guidance